UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,329	04/25/2005	Henri Vial	1721-83	1692
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 02/04/2008 ON & VANDERHYE, PC		EXAMINER	
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH FL	OOR	POWERS, FIONA	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/521,329	VIAL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
!	Fiona T. Powers	1626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b></b> ·						
,—							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>29-57</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>29-57</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 April 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
· ·							
•							
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/521,329

Art Unit: 1626

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 29 to 34 and 53 to 55 (all in part), drawn to compound of Formula (I), (IV) and (V) where X is formula (II) and Y is  $R_3$  and  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , and/or  $R'_1$  and  $R'_2$  or  $R_2$  and  $R_3$ , and/or  $R'_2$  and  $R'_3$  or  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  and/or  $R'_1$ ,  $R'_2$  and  $R'_3$  together do not form a heterocycle.

Group II, claim(s) 29 to 34 and 53 to 55 (all in part) and claims 35 to 41, drawn to compounds of the formula (I), (IV) and (V) where X is formula (II) and Y is  $R_3$  and  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , and/or  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  or  $R_2$  and  $R_3$ , and/or  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  or  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  and/or  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  and/or  $R_3$ ,  $R_3$  and/or  $R_3$  and  $R_3$  and/or  $R_3$  and  $R_3$  and  $R_3$  and/or  $R_3$  and  $R_3$  and  $R_3$  and/or  $R_3$  and  $R_3$  and/or  $R_3$  and  $R_3$  and  $R_3$  and/or  $R_3$  and  $R_$ 

Group III, claim(s) 29 to 34, 42 to 45 and 53 to 55 (all in part), drawn to compound of Formula (I), (IX) and (X) where X is formula  $R_4$  and Y is formula (III) and  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , and/or  $R'_1$  and  $R'_2$  or  $R_2$  and  $R_3$ , and/or  $R'_2$  and  $R'_3$  or  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  and/or  $R'_1$ ,  $R'_2$  and  $R'_3$  together do not form a heterocycle.

Group IV, claim(s) s 29 to 34, 42 to 45 and 53 to 55 (all in part) and claims 46 to 49, drawn to compound of Formula (I), (IX) and (X) where X is formula  $R_4$  and Y is formula (III) and  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , and/or  $R'_1$  and  $R'_2$  or  $R_2$  and  $R_3$ , and/or  $R'_2$  and  $R'_3$  or  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  and/or  $R'_1$ ,  $R'_2$  and  $R'_3$  together form a heterocycle and compounds of the formula (XI).

Group V, claim(s) 50, drawn to a process.

Group VI, claim(s) 51 an 52, drawn to a process.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,329

Art Unit: 1626

Group VII, claim(s) 56 and 57, drawn to method of treating antiparasitic diseases.

The inventions listed as Groups I to VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the technical feature that is common to Groups I to VII is the compounds of the formula (I). This is not a special technical feature because the compounds are known in the art. Note Compound Nos. 28a to 54a and 18b to 39b of Tables 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent 5,242,948 and Compound No. 6 of Chapman et al. (Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications, (7), 240-241, 1976), for example.

In addition, it would be an undue burden on the examiner if all of the claims were searched in a single application as separate patent, literature and computer searches would need to be done.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction

Number: 10/521,329

Art Unit: 1626

requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product

Application/Control Number: 10/521,329

Art Unit: 1626

claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction Application/Control Page 6

Number: 10/521,329

Art Unit: 1626

requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fiona T. Powers whose telephone number is 571-272-0702. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Page 7

Number: 10/521,329

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fiona T. Powers
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1626

ftp January 31, 2008